REMARKS

The Office Action of June 8, 2005 has been received and considered. Claims 3-7, 12-39

and 41-47 have been withdrawn pursuant to an election of species requirement. Reconsideration

of the application in view of the preceding amendments and following remarks, and allowance of

the pending claims is respectfully requested. Each of the Examiner's rejections is discussed

below.

Section 102

Claims 1 and 8-11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over U.S. Patent No.

6,449,878 to Lyden ("Lyden"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Lyden discloses footwear with an upper 23 and a sole structure having a spring element

51 formed of superior spring element 47 and inferior spring element 50. Superior spring element

47 includes an anterior spring element 48 and a posterior spring element 49. Superior spring

element 47 and inferior spring element 50 are typically connected to one another with fasteners

29.

Lyden fails to disclose or make obvious a heel plate assembly secured at one end thereof

to an upper plate, the heel plate assembly extending downwardly from the upper plate such that

the heel plate assembly forms an acute angle with the upper plate, with a medial side of the heel

plate assembly having a thickness greater than a thickness of a lateral side of the heel plate

assembly, as required by independent claim 1.

The Office Action assert that the text at col. 20, lines 23-67, and/or col. 23, line 38

through col. 24, line 24 of Lyden discloses that the medial portion of Lyden's heel plate

assembly has a thickness greater than a thickness of the lateral side of the heel plate assembly.

USSN: 10/688,369

N. 10, 000,505

Express Mail Receipt No.: EV 511644986 US

13

Applicant respectfully submits that the text of Lyden cited in the Office Action does not

disclose the required claim limitation. With respect to the first cited portion of text at col. 20,

lines 23-67, Lyden specifically describes an anterior spacer 55 (seen in FIG. 8) of a cushioning

medium that is positioned between anterior spring element 48 and posterior spring element 49,

which, being part of spring element 51, is described as being made of a resilient material such as

metal, e.g., spring steel, a thermoplastic material, or a fiber composite material (col. 10, lines 62-

64). Anterior spacer 55 is not a part of a heel plate assembly that extends downwardly from an

upper plate and is secured to an upper plate. Specifically, Lyden states that

"an anterior spacer 55 which can possibly consist of a cushioning medium having desired spring and dampening characteristics can be inserted in the area between the

anterior spring element 48 and posterior spring element 49, that is, within an area of

possible overlap as between the two components. (Col. 20, lines 23-28.)

This anterior spacer 55 certainly cannot be considered to meet the heel plate limitation of

independent claim 1. Not only is anterior spacer 55 not a part of the heel plate assembly, it is

specifically described as being only in contact with superior spring element 47, that is, it is

positioned between anterior spring element 48 and posterior spring element 49.

With respect to the second cited portion of text at col. 23, line 38 through col. 24, line 24,

Lyden similarly states that

posterior spacer 42 can serve a purpose analogous to that of anterior spacer 55, and viceversa. Accordingly, a posterior spacer 42 can consist of a cushioning medium having

desired spring and dampening characteristics. The posterior spacer 42 can be inserted between the inferior spring element 50 and posterior spring element 49, that is, within an

area of possible overlap as between the two components. (Col. 23, lines 48-55)

Posterior spacer 42 is positioned between posterior spring element 49 and inferior spring

element 50, which, being part of spring element 51, is described as being made of a resilient

14

USSN: 10/688,369

material such as metal, e.g., spring steel, a thermoplastic material, or a fiber composite material

(col. 10, lines 62-64). Posterior spacer 42, on the other hand, is described as being formed of a

cushioning material such as a natural or synthetic rubber material, or a resilient elastomer such as

polyurethane (col. 26, lines 34-37). It simply is not a part of a heel plate assembly that extends

downwardly from an upper plate and is secured to an upper plate, it is a separate and distinct

element.

Accordingly, the rejection is improper and should be withdrawn.

Section 103

Claims 2 and 40 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Lyden in view of U.S.

Patent No. 6,092,314 to Rothbart ("Rothbart"). Rothbart is cited as disclosing a plurality of

layers with varying widths as an alternative to a steady slope for a wedge shaped pad in

footwear. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Rothbart fails to overcome the deficiencies of Lyden noted above. Specifically, Rothbart

fails to disclose or make obvious a heel plate assembly secured at one end thereof to an upper

plate, the heel plate assembly extending downwardly from the upper plate such that the heel plate

assembly forms an acute angle with the upper plate, with a medial side of the heel plate assembly

having a thickness greater than a thickness of a lateral side of the heel plate assembly,, as

required by independent claim 1.

Rothbart also does not disclose or make obvious a heel plate assembly secured at one end

thereof to the upper plate, the heel plate assembly extending downwardly from the upper plate

such that the heel plate assembly forms an acute angle with the upper plate, the heel plate

assembly comprising a first layer; a second layer positioned on the first layer and having a width

15

USSN: 10/688,369

Express Mail Receipt No.: EV 511644986 US

less than a width of the first layer; and a third layer positioned on the second layer and having a width less than the width of the second layer, as required by independent claim 40.

Rothbart discloses a wedge, labeled foot support system 60, which is positioned beneath the user's foot. It is simply not a heel plate assembly secured to an upper plate. Accordingly, the rejection is improper and should be withdrawn.

## **Conclusion**

Consequently, pending claims 1, 2, 8-11 and 40 are believed to be in form for allowance, and an indication to that effect is respectfully requested at this time. Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

Dated: August 15, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory J. Cohan, Reg. No. 40,9 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

28 State Street, 28th Floor

Boston, MA 02109

(617) 720-9600

USSN: 10/688,369

Express Mail Receipt No.: EV 511644986 US